

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Frademark Office
Address: CUMPA USS ONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1950
Alexandrd, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,421	01/30/2002	Mineo Arai	1506.1016	4753
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 04/19/2007 ISFYIIP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			DOAN, DUYEN MY	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 20 2000		2152	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/058,421	ARAI, MINEO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duyen M. Doan	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2007.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	p					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
	·					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	🗖	0 (070 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/19/07 has been entered. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination. Claim 13 is amended and claim 14 is newly added for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (us pat 6,553,507).

As regarding claim 13, Cohen discloses a method look for the faults in the faults data base, when searching fails to discover said trouble, electronically notify at least one device of said trouble based on data identifying a manufacturer (see Cohen col.2,

Application/Control Number: 10/058,421

Art Unit: 2152

lines 56-67, col.3, lines 1-9, if the fault data is not found in the fault database, forward the fault data to the vender of the software).

Cohen further discloses in figure 3, a fault database, the first column of the database is the trouble component. Cohen does not explicitly disclose using a trouble component field and a plurality of related component field as search keys wherein the trouble component field and related component fields identify programs.

However using a trouble component field and a plurality of related component field as search keys is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a trouble component field and a plurality of related component field as search keys because when searching for the fault data in the fault database, the administrator or technical service representative has to identify what the problem is, it is obvious to use the trouble component as a search key, otherwise how the system know what to look for in the fault database.

As regarding claim 14, Cohen discloses transmitting a notification including new trouble unspecified in a trouble record receiving a response including a solution for the new trouble (see Cohen(see Cohen col.2, lines 56-67, col.3, lines 1-9, if the fault data is not found in the fault database, forward the fault data to the vender of the software, and receive the solution).

Art Unit: 2152

Cohen further discloses in figure 3, a fault database, the first column of the database is the trouble component. Cohen does not explicitly disclose trouble component field and a plurality of related component fields, said trouble component field and said plurality of related component fields identifying programs; and

However using a trouble component field and a plurality of related component field in a notification is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a trouble component field and a plurality of related component field in a notification because when requesting solution for the fault data, the administrator or technical service representative has to identify what the problem is, it is obvious to use the trouble component in the notification, otherwise how the system know what to look for to find the solution.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 allowed.

Art Unit: 2152

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duyen M. Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4226. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner
Duyen Doan
Art unit 2152

BUNJOH JAROENICHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER